

Formation of Metal Carbonyl Complexes in Reactions with Tetrafluoroethylene

By R. D. W. KEMMITT* and D. I. NICHOLS

(Chemistry Department, The University, Leicester)

A recent crystal structure determination¹ has shown that one of the products of the reaction from tetrafluoroethylene and *trans*-(Et₃P)₂PtHCl, originally² formulated as a π -bonded tetrafluoroethylene complex (Et₃P)₂PtHCl(π -C₂F₄), is in fact a carbonyl complex, *trans*-(Et₃P)₂PtCOCl+BF₄⁻. This unusual reaction prompts us to report our experiments on the formation of metal carbonyl complexes in reactions with tetrafluoroethylene and to comment on possible mechanisms for these reactions.

Reaction of [(C₆F₅)₃P]₄Rh₂Cl₂³ in sodium-dried benzene in a sealed glass tube with tetrafluoroethylene at 120° gives a very high yield of *trans*-[(C₆F₅)₃P]₂RhCOCl³ identified by analyses, m.p., and i.r. spectra. Similarly, reaction of tetrafluoroethylene with the complexes L₂Rh₂Cl₂ [L = (C₆F₅)₂(C₆H₅)P or (C₆F₅)(C₆H₅)₂P] gives high yields of the complexes *trans*-L₂RhCOCl which can also be prepared from the phosphines and [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂. Tetrafluoroethylene and (Ph₃P)₃RhCl in benzene at 120° also gives the carbonyl *trans*-(Ph₃P)₂RhCOCl.

The complexes L₂Rh₂Cl₂ [L = (C₆F₅)₃P or (C₆F₅)₂(C₆H₅)P] in benzene solution do not react with tetrafluoroethylene at room temperature. However, reaction of the complex, L = (C₆F₅)-(C₆H₅)₂P, with tetrafluoroethylene at room temperature gives the tetrafluoroethylene complex, L₂Rh(C₂F₄)Cl, analogous to the complex obtained from (Ph₃P)₃RhCl and tetrafluoroethylene at room temperature.⁴

It has been suggested¹ that the carbon monoxide formed in the reaction of the platinum complex is produced by attack of the tetrafluoroethylene on the glass surface of the sealed tube since silicon tetrafluoride is detected in the gaseous products of reaction. In agreement with this we find silicon tetrafluoride is formed as a by-product in the reactions and that the carbonyl complex [(C₆F₅)₃-P]₂RhCOCl is not formed in reactions with tetrafluoroethylene or in blank experiments with no added olefin. However, reaction of tetrafluoroethylene with [(C₆F₅)₃P]₄Rh₂Cl₂ in benzene in a

stainless steel autoclave also gives the carbonyl complex, [(C₆F₅)₃P]₂RhCOCl, and it is likely that there is another competing mechanism for the formation of carbon monoxide. Similarly, reaction of tetrafluoroethylene with (Ph₃P)₃RhCl under identical conditions gives (Ph₃P)₂RhCOCl although the yield is less than that obtained in a sealed glass tube. It is possible that the amount of carbonyl complex obtained may be dependent on the type of phosphine bonded to the rhodium.

The amounts of carbonyl complexes isolated can be reduced when the reactions carried out in the stainless steel autoclave are repeated under more rigorous anhydrous conditions suggesting that water may take a part in the formation of the carbonyls. Solvolysis of the tetrafluoroethylene complex, acac Rh(C₂F₄)(C₂H₄), in acetic acid has been reported⁵ to give carbon monoxide. Similarly we find that on heating the complex (Ph₃P)₂Rh(C₂F₄)Cl to 120° in wet benzene in a sealed glass tube or steel autoclave (Ph₃P)₂RhCOCl is obtained. In the absence of water only very small amounts of the carbonyl are produced.

Presumably in the reaction of tetrafluoroethylene with the rhodium complexes an olefin complex is formed initially. It is known⁴ that tetrafluoroethylene is readily displaced from (Ph₃P)₂Rh(C₂F₄)Cl by carbon monoxide to give (Ph₃P)₂RhCOCl. Thus once carbon monoxide has been formed in the sealed glass tube the co-ordinated tetrafluoroethylene would be displaced to give the complexes, L₂RhCOCl. In addition, traces of water present could hydrolyse the co-ordinated olefin to give the carbonyl complex and the hydrogen fluoride formed would attack the glass to give silicon tetrafluoride. Since the scale of these experiments involves 0.2 to 0.3 g of rhodium complex only very small amounts of water would be necessary for the hydrolysis.

(Received, August 7th, 1967; Com. 828.)

¹ H. C. Clark, P. W. R. Corfield, K. R. Dixon, and J. A. Ibers, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 3360.

² H. C. Clark and W. S. Tsang, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 529.

³ R. D. W. Kemmitt, D. I. Nichols, and R. D. Peacock, *Chem. Comm.*, 1967, 599.

⁴ M. J. Mays and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, 6629.

⁵ G. W. Parshall and F. N. Jones, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 5356.